Acoustic pharyngometry measurement of minimal cross-sectional airway area is a significant independent predictor of moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea
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ABSTRACT

Study Objectives. The current gold-standard method of diagnosing obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is polysomnography, which can be inefficient. We therefore sought to determine a method to triage patients at risk of OSA, without using subjective data which are prone to mis-reporting. We hypothesized that acoustic pharyngometry in combination with age, gender, and neck circumference, would predict the presence of moderate-to-severe OSA.

Methods. Untreated subjects with suspected OSA were recruited from a local sleep clinic and underwent polysomnography. We also included a control group to verify differences. While seated in an upright position and breathing through the mouth, an acoustic pharyngometer was used to measure the minimal cross-sectional area (MCA) of the upper airway at end-exhalation.

Results. Sixty subjects were recruited (35 males, mean age 42 yr, range 21-81 years; apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) 33±30events/hour (mean ± standard deviation), Epworth Sleepiness Scale score 11±6, body mass index 34±8 kg/m²). In univariate logistic regression, MCA was a significant predictor of mild-no OSA (AHI <15/hour). A multivariate logistic regression model including MCA, age, gender, and neck circumference, significantly predicted AHI <15/hour, explaining approximately one third of the total variance ($\chi^2(4)=37$, $p<0.01$), with only MCA being a significant independent predictor (adjusted odds ratio 54, standard error 130, $p<0.01$).

Conclusions. These data suggest that independent of age, gender, and neck size, objective anatomical assessment can significantly differentiate those with mild versus moderate-to-severe OSA in a clinical setting, and may have utility as a component in stratifying risk of OSA.
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INTRODUCTION

Symptomatic obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is known to affect up to 17% of the population.\textsuperscript{1} The current gold-standard method of diagnosing OSA is in-laboratory polysomnography, which can be cumbersome and expensive. Anthropometric characteristics\textsuperscript{2} and subjective sleepiness questionnaires\textsuperscript{3} have limitations in accurately predicting OSA.

The current study examined the potential for acoustic pharyngometry as a method to triage efficiently patients at risk of OSA. Acoustic pharyngometry is a noninvasive method that uses sound reflection to assess quickly (<5 minutes) the cross-sectional area of the upper airway as a function of distance from the oral opening.\textsuperscript{4, 5} Based on the observation that anatomical compromise predisposes to OSA, prior studies have suggested that acoustic pharyngometry may be useful to predict the presence of snoring with or without OSA in both adults\textsuperscript{6-10} and children.\textsuperscript{11} Acoustic pharyngometry has also been used to assess heritability traits, such as narrow airways, in African-American populations.\textsuperscript{12} Based on our experience with anatomical measurements and our work in occupational clinics where self-reports (ESS, morning headaches, SF-36) can be unreliable\textsuperscript{13}, we sought objective measurements which have good predictive value for OSA.

In a prospective clinical study, we aimed to assess whether acoustic pharyngometry used in a daytime sleep clinic setting provides additional power to predict OSA severity beyond routine demographic and body habitus characteristics. We tested the hypothesis that acoustic pharyngometric measurement of minimal upper airway cross-sectional area (MCA), in combination with objective clinical characteristics (age, gender, and neck circumference), would predict the absence of moderate-to-severe OSA, defined as an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) $\geq$15/hour.
METHODS

Adults (≥18 years) with suspected OSA were recruited from a local sleep clinic (N=51) as well as a control group from the community (N=9). Exclusion criteria were use of stimulants (for example amphetamines, modafanil), known head injury, dementia or retardation, alcohol or drug abuse, and pregnancy. All subjects gave informed written consent. The study was approved by Partners’ Institutional Review Board.

Data Collection

The subjects recruited from the sleep clinic underwent a standard overnight laboratory polysomnography (PSG) (electroencephalogram, electrooculogram, chin and anterior tibial electromyogram, electrocardiogram, airflow using nasal pressure and oronasal thermistor, respiratory excursions using inductance plethysmography, and pulse oximetry). Those recruited from the community underwent a home sleep test level II (electroencephalogram, airflow using nasal pressure, respiratory excursions using inductance plethysmography, and pulse oximetry). All study data were manually scored by a blinded certified scorer using American Academy of Sleep Medicine alternative criteria\(^{14}\) (hypopneas defined as a 50% decrease in airflow associated with a 3% desaturation and/or arousal). We defined the presence of moderate/severe OSA as AHI ≥15 events/hour. Clinical characteristics including body mass index (BMI) and neck circumference were measured by an experienced medical assistant naive to research study objectives during the daytime clinic visit.

Acoustic Pharyngometry

Pharyngometry data were collected as previously described\(^{15}\) between the hours of 8:30am and 2:30pm. Briefly, while seated in an upright position on a straight-back chair, subjects breathed orally through a pharyngometer (Eccovision Acoustic Pharyngometry Sleep Group
Solutions, Miami FL) with the aid of a nose clip. A disposable mouth-piece was used to stabilize the tongue and provide a reproducible bite position. At normal resting lung volume (functional residual capacity) subjects were instructed to pause breathing at end-exhalation while maintaining a relaxed airway, during which acoustic measurement of upper airway cross-sectional area was made (≥10 pressure pulses). Measurements were repeated three times (see Figure 1). During a fourth measurement, subjects were asked to close their airway; subjects were coached until this occurred at the level of the glottis as observed on the pharyngogram. Data were plotted as cross-sectional area versus distance from the incisors. This pharyngogram was inspected and the minimal cross-sectional area (MCA) was measured between the oropharyngeal junction (OPJ) up to but excluding the glottis (7-18 cm from the incisors).

The first three individual MCA measurements were pooled to obtain the mean. The purpose of the fourth measurement was to determine the location of the glottis. These four measurements took less than 15 minutes per subject.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Version 20, IBM, NY USA). Between-group differences in continuous data were assessed using t-tests or Mann-Whitney tests as appropriate for parametric and non-parametric data respectively. Between-group differences in categorical data were assessed using Chi-square tests. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression forced-entry models were used to assess the ability of hypothesized variables to predict AHI <15/hour. Based on our sample size, three predictor variables in addition to MCA were pre-specified for inclusion. Predictors were chosen to encompass potential independent covariates or confounders of the relationship between MCA and AHI. Potential
multicollinearity was investigated by assessing variance inflation factors and simple correlations. Statistical tests were considered significant when p<0.05. A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve was created, and positive/negative predictive values were calculated.
RESULTS

Amongst the 60 subjects studied, 30 patients had moderate/severe OSA defined as AHI ≥ 15 /hour (Table 1). The OSA (AHI ≥ 15) and mild/no-OSA (AHI < 15) groups were significantly different in terms of measures of apnea severity, BMI, age, and neck circumference. The median MCA of the OSA group was 1.66 (IQR 0.42) compared with 2.22 (IQR 0.44) in the control group ($p \leq 0.01$).

Results of the univariate and multivariate logistic regression models are shown in Table 2. Age, neck circumference, and MCA were all significant univariate predictors of AHI < 15/hour, with age and MCA remaining as significant independent predictors of AHI < 15/hour after controlling for gender and neck circumference. Overall, the model had a pseudo-$R^2$ of 0.46 ($\chi^2(4)=36.79$, overall $p<0.01$). The area under the ROC curve for MCA predicting AHI < 15/hour was 0.85. The optimum MCA cut-off point for detecting an AHI < 15/hour was 1.86 cm$^2$ (95% CI 0.69 to 0.96); at this point, the positive predictive value was 0.87 and the negative predictive value was 0.87 (true positive: AHI < 15/hour and MCA < 1.86 cm$^2$).
DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrates that MCA, determined by acoustic pharyngometry, can significantly differentiate between those with mild/no-OSA versus moderate-to-severe OSA. When analyzed alongside other variables such as gender, age, and neck circumference, acoustic pharyngometry was the only independent predictor of detecting the absence of moderate-to-severe OSA. This easily obtained measurement thus has potential utility as a component in a diagnostic algorithm for OSA. With healthcare reform, sleep testing may become less readily available, making decisions to prioritize testing in certain patients important.

Acoustic pharyngometry may also be a useful objective tool in occupational health clinics. The population in certain environments may minimize subjective symptoms in sleep questionnaires, leading to the need for simple and cost-effective tools for objective assessments. In conjunction with basic anthropometric characteristics, pharyngometry can help objectively determine those at high risk for OSA.

Other anatomical assessments have been used in the literature, although each has limitations. Neck circumference is easy to measure but underestimates OSA in lean individuals, and did not perform as well as pharyngometry in the present study. Computed axial tomography involves ionizing radiation exposure and is not readily available in many sleep clinics or other offsite centers; moreover, it is time consuming and costly. Similarly, cephalometrics require radiation and only have modest predictive value for OSA. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) avoids ionizing radiation and provides excellent definition of parapharyngeal soft tissues, but is also expensive, and thus unlikely to replace PSG as a method of choice for initial risk assessments of OSA. However, MRI does have theoretical advantages over
pharyngometry when tissue definition and specific identification of certain tissue structures are needed, for example in pre-operative assessment to determine preferred surgical procedure.

Some of the prior literature with acoustic pharyngometry has focused primarily on the OPJ, rather than the MCA per se. We chose to focus on the MCA for a number of reasons. We could reliably identify the MCA in all patients, whereas in some patients the OPJ was either difficult to identify or unclear based on review by multiple experts. We also aimed to identify a strategy which was easily implementable by clinicians in practice and thus did not rely on measurements that required expertise or experience to obtain. In addition, there are significant variabilities in anatomical factors compromising the pharyngeal airway in OSA and thus we did not want to limit our observations to the OPJ.\textsuperscript{22}

Despite the study’s strengths, we acknowledge a number of limitations. First, we had a limited sample size, in large part due to the move towards home sleep testing, currently very strong in Massachusetts, and few patients without complications are undergoing clinical in-laboratory polysomnography. This trend toward home testing was a major motivation underlying the present study. Second, pharyngometry does not provide insights as to the mechanisms underlying airway obstruction. For reproducibility reasons, acoustic pharyngometry is best used while awake and seated.\textsuperscript{23} We would also note that although supine sleep is clearly relevant to OSA pathogenesis, our assessments of patients during upright wakefulness still provided good predictive value in distinguishing OSA patients from controls. Thus, our data do not speak to the mechanism of decreased MCA, but do describe the phenomenon adequately. We encourage further efforts into anatomical assessment of the upper airway to determine the role of tongue anatomy, fat deposition, and mandibular
structure. Third, multiple mechanisms underlie OSA, including but not limited to upper airway dilator muscle activity, end-expiratory lung volume, and ventilatory control instability. Thus, we would not expect anatomical assessments to account for all of the variance underlying OSA\textsuperscript{24}. This concept suggests the need for further efforts into apnea phenotyping\textsuperscript{25} such that the mechanisms underlying apnea can be determined by clinicians caring for afflicted patients. Despite these limitations, we believe our findings are robust and worthy of further testing.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that acoustic pharyngometry provides an objective and simple test with strong independent predictive value for the presence or absence of moderate-to-severe OSA. Further efforts will be required to validate these findings in an occupational setting, where self-report is often unreliable, and may contribute to the identification of mechanisms underlying apnea and promoting individualized therapy for OSA in the future.
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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHI (apnea hypopnea index)
BMI (body mass index)
MCA (minimal cross-sectional area)
MRI (magnetic resonance imaging)
OPJ (oro-pharyngeal junction)
OSA (obstructive sleep apnea)
PSG (polysomnography)
ROC (receiver operating characteristic)
SE (standard error)
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Example tracing of the recording from pharyngometry showing cross-sectional area as a function of distance from the incisors. In this example, the minimal cross-sectional airway area is 0.95 cm$^2$. 
Table 1: Characteristics of patients with moderate-severe OSA and mild/no OSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mild/no OSA (AHI&lt;15/hour) n=30</th>
<th>Moderate-severe OSA (AHI≥15/hour) n=30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males (number, %)</td>
<td>17 (57%)</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.5 (16.5)</td>
<td>44.5 (25.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Efficiency (%)</td>
<td>89.2 (13)</td>
<td>80.9 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI (events/hour)</td>
<td>3.4 (10.43)</td>
<td>40.6 (35.8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine AHI (events/hour)</td>
<td>3.1 (9)</td>
<td>45.9 (57)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Desaturation Index (events/hour, ≥4% desaturation)</td>
<td>1.7 (8)</td>
<td>24.9 (34)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Oxygen Saturation (%)</td>
<td>89.0 (7)</td>
<td>79.5 (14)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth Sleepiness Scale score (/24)</td>
<td>8.0±4.4</td>
<td>12.0±5.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.5 (10.3)</td>
<td>33.4 (13.3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference (cm)</td>
<td>35.6 (5.7)</td>
<td>41.3 (4.5)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHI=Apnea-hypopnea index. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, or median (interquartile range) as appropriate. * = p<0.05; ** = p<0.01.

Table 2: Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models with outcome AHI<15 events/hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio (SE)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (1=male)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference (cm)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal Cross-sectional Airway Area (cm²)</td>
<td>18.63 (21.73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Including all univariate predictors (i.e. age, gender, neck circumference and minimal cross-sectional area). Overall pseudo-R² = 0.46, χ²(4)=36.79, p < 0.01.